

Should everything of value have a price?

©Crystallise Limited 2025 www.crystallise.com

Dr William Letton – Consultant for R&D

World Nature Conservation Day

Every 28 July, **World Nature Conservation Day** invites us to pause and ask ourselves a question: **Are we treating the planet as though we intend to stay?** The day was created to celebrate Earth's life-support systems and to inspire citizens, businesses, and governments to protect them for future generations.

Human activities and the numbers we can't ignore

- Global temperature rise has passed 1°C above pre-industrial levels, and annual emissions continue to climb each year.¹
- Deforestation has slowed but still **erodes forest ecosystems** more each year.²
- Species loss has accelerated at least tens to hundreds of times.³

A textbook market failure

Markets are brilliant at pricing steel, smartphones, and streaming subscriptions. They are terrible at pricing coral reefs, pollination, or a stable climate. When a business pays nothing for degrading our ecology or climate, society ends up footing the bill later — through higher insurance premiums, eroded coastlines, and biodiversity rescue plans. Economists call this a "negative externality," but in plain language it's a cost we all share without ever agreeing to.

Offsets and credits

Carbon offsets and **biodiversity credits** attempt to correct that failure. By attaching a price to avoided emissions or restored habitats, they:

- Channel private money into conservation.
- Offer flexibility. Companies that can't decarbonise overnight can fund high-quality projects while they retool their operations.
- Shine a spotlight on nature's worth. Every tonne of carbon or hectare of mangrove that earns revenue reminds boardrooms that ecosystems are productive assets, not scenery.

Yet the model has flaws.

- Verification standards vary, making it hard to prove that a forest protected today won't be logged tomorrow.
- Firms may view credits as a license to pollute, claiming "net-zero" status while their absolute emissions keep rising.
- Critics argue that this is greenwashing –
 selling virtue without changing behaviour –
 and that schemes can shift conservation
 duties onto poorer communities.⁴

Where we land

Putting a price on clean air or thriving wetlands may feel pragmatic, but it also **risks deepening the very mind-set that caused the crisis: viewing nature as a commodity**. Climate and Ecological systems are interacting and complex, making it **impossible to accurately price them**. As changes become irreversible, today's market is also **pricing the natural world on behalf of all future generations**. Our focus on the present provides an uncomfortable answer to the opening question: **Are we treating the planet as though we intend to stay?**

References

- 1. AR6 Synthesis Report: Climate Change 2023 IPCC [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 14]. Available from: https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-cycle/
- 2. Ritchie H. Deforestation and Forest Loss. Our World in Data [Internet]. 2021 Feb 4 [cited 2025 Jul 14]; Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/deforestation
- 3. Díaz S, Malhi Y. Biodiversity: Concepts, Patterns, Trends, and Perspectives. Annual Review of Environment and Resources. 2022 Oct 17;47(Volume 47, 2022):31–63.
- 4. Coalition GF, Admin GFC. Civil Society Organizations Worldwide Warn Against Biodiversity Offsets and Credits [Internet]. Global Forest Coalition. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 14].